UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,423	01/21/2004	Shigeo Fujii	T3201.0041	1238
32172 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 05/15/200 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			SMITH, CREIGHTON H	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/760,423	FUJII, SHIGEO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Creighton H. Smith	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 M</u>	'AR '08					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-76</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Art Unit: 2614

The finality of the last office action dated 09 APR '08 is withdrawn due to applicant's phone call requesting a 2nd action non-final because no amendment were made to the claims.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-76 as understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(E) as being anticipated by Fuoss et al, U.S. Pat. #6,970,696 or Wojaczynski et al, U.S. Pat. App. Pub. #2004/0203752.

Fuoss et al disclose a cell phone 110, col. 5, line 7, wirelessly connected to a docking station 140, col. 3, lines 29-33. Fuoss et al also disclose that the network control devices could include a PBX switch, col. 3, line 13, and that network 100 may also be the Internet, col. 3, line 4, which means that both the docking station/laptop and the cell phone 110 will be communicating over the Internet. Fuoss et al disclose in col. 4, lines 30 et seq. that the docking station will act as a call forwarding device, such that

Application/Control Number: 10/760,423 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

when a user's cell phone is not in place in the docking station, phone calls to his work/home phone may be routed to his cell phone.

Therefore, Fuoss et al disclose applicant's information processor in the form of a docking station/laptop. Although Fuoss et al never specifically mention the laptop, the definition of a docking station is that it includes a laptop. See definition of docking station in Newton's Telecom Dictionary.

For Wojaczynski et al, see ¶¶-0015 & 0022.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Creighton H. Smith at telephone number 571/272-7546.

12 MAY '08

/Creighton H Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614